Calix[4]arene-Based Clusters with $\mu_9$-Carbonato-Bridged $\text{Co}^{II}_9$ Cores
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ABSTRACT

Two discrete complexes, $[\text{Co}^{II}_9(\text{TBC}[4])_3(\mu_9-\text{CO}_3)(\mu_3-\text{OH})_2(\mu_2-\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\mu_2-\text{CH}_3\text{COO})(\text{DMA})_4\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot2\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \ (1)$, $[\text{Co}^{II}_9(\text{TBC}[4])_3(\mu_9-\text{CO}_3)(\mu_3-\text{OH})(\mu_2-\text{HCOO})(\text{O}_3\text{PPh})(\text{DMF})_8\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \ (2)$ (TBC[4] = $p$-tert-butylcalix[4]arene, DMA = N,N'-dimethylacetamide, DMF = N,N'-dimethylformamide), have been solvothermally obtained and structurally characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses and powder X-ray diffraction. Complex 1 capped by three TBC[4] ligands possesses a tri-capped prismatic $\text{Co}^{II}_9$ core housing a $\mu_9$-carbonato anion. When auxiliary phosphonate ligand is introduced into the reaction, complex 2 is obtained with an obvious geometrical change in the metallic core moving from a regular tri-capped trigonal prism in 1 to a distorted one in 2. What is more, this work represents the first two examples of $\mu_9$-$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ bridged paramagnetic metal clusters reported in the literature. Magnetic measurements reveal that both of the complexes show spin-glass behavior.
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INTRODUCTION

The design and synthesis of novel polynuclear metal clusters have become one of the most active field in coordination chemistry and material chemistry in the past few decades, not only due to their intriguing structures\textsuperscript{1-3} but also their potential technological applications in magnetism,\textsuperscript{4,6} catalysis,\textsuperscript{7,8} conductivity,\textsuperscript{9} nonlinear optical material\textsuperscript{10} and gas adsorption and storage.\textsuperscript{11,12} Recently, a large number of polynuclear clusters with interesting geometries and novel properties have been obtained by employing bridging anions such as OH, $\text{NO}_3^{2-}$, $\text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{2-}$, $X$ ($X = F$, Cl, Br, I) or in situ generated anions such as $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$, $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$, tetrazolate under hydro-/solvothermal conditions.\textsuperscript{13,15} Among these anions, the carbonate anion with three donor oxygen atoms has been proved to be an excellent
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bridging anion showing a variety of coordination modes. Thus many carbonato-bridged transition metal (TM), lanthanide metal (LnM) and 3d-4f heterometallic clusters with different nuclearity, for example, Co5, Dy6, Ni8, Gd8, Mn14, Zn2Dy2 and Cu3Nd3Gd3 have been obtained. It is also found that different coordination modes of the carbonato anions can markedly influence cluster formation, which often lead to a large diversity in magnetic properties. Generally speaking, there are three main ways to introduce the carbonate anion for those reported carbonato-bridged complexes: (i) fixing atmospheric carbon dioxide, (ii) inducing by in situ generated reactions, (iii) preparing from carbonate salts. Very recently, our group has synthesized a series of polynuclear Ni4 clusters based on thiacalix[4]arenes with in situ generated carbonato anions, and cationic Mn8 coordination cages, heterometallic thiacalix[4]arene-supported Na2NiII2LnIII2 clusters (Ln = Tb and Dy) by directly introducing carbonate salts.

On the other hand, calix[n]arenes are macrocyclic ligands composed ofaryl units, linked by methylene bridges. It is found that calix[n]arenes show good abilities in the construction of metal clusters owing to their four inherent lower-rim phenolic oxygen atoms, which can be used to bind either TM or LnM. So far, to construct polynuclear complexes with calix[n]arene has attracted increasing attention. For example, they have reported calix[4]arene-based MnIII2MnII2 SMMS, MnII4GdIII4 magnetic cooler and ferromagnetic MnII cage by introducing complementary ligands. Moreover, thiacalix[4]arenes and their oxidized derivatives (sulfynyl/sulfonyl-calix[4]arene) with additional donor atoms around the bridges have also been used in the formation of novel polymeric complexes. It should be noted that compared to methylene-bridged calix[n]arenes, these additional donor atoms often lead to dramatically different cluster motifs by participating in the coordination chemistry of the resulting complexes.

Our group has focused on constructing new polymeric clusters by utilizing calixarene ligands that may exhibit interesting magnetic properties. Previously, our group and Liao’s group have reported a family of thiacalix[4]arene-based cobalt clusters, 14 of whose magnetic properties have been investigated and show dominant antiferromagnetic interactions between spin carriers. To our best of knowledge, there is only one reported trinuclear Co3 cluster supported by two calix[4]arene ligands, but its magnetic behavior has not been investigated. For the above reasons, we have tried to use p-tert-butylcalix[4]arene (TBC[4]) ligand in preparing new cobalt clusters to compare the magnetic behavior with these thiacalix[4]arene-based cobalt clusters. Fortunately, we have successfully obtained two novel calix[4]arene-based cobalt(II) clusters. Notably, [CoII(TBC[4])3(µ2-CO3)(µ2-HO2)(µ-CH2COONa)(H2O)(DMF)2]2H2O•CH3OH (1) (DMF = N,N-dimethylformamide) is obtained with a distorted tri-capped trigonal prismatic core, where the in situ generated CO3 anion is different from one in complex 1. Determined by bond-valence-sum calculations, all the metal ions in both complexes are at a +2 oxidation state as required for the charge balances (Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information, SI). Herein we report the syntheses, structures and magnetic properties of both of the title complexes.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Measurements. Starting material, p-tert-butylcalix[4]arene ligand, was prepared according to published method. All other chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were performed on a German Elementary Varil EL III instrument. IR spectra were obtained as KBr pellets with a Magna 750 FT-IR spectrometer. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements were recorded at room temperature by a RIGAKU-DMAX2500 X-ray diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.154 nm). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves were obtained on a NETZSCH STA 449C thermal analyzer. Magnetic susceptibility measurements performed on microcrystalline sample, using a Quantum Design PMS-9T and MPMS-XL systems. All experimental magnetic data were corrected for the diamagnetism of the sample holders and of the constituent atoms according to the Pascal’s constants.

Syntheses of Complexes 1-2. Complex 1. Purple block-shaped crystals of 1 were obtained from the mixture of TBC[4] (0.15 mmol, 100 mg), Co(OAc)2•4H2O (0.4 mmol, 100 mg) with NaHCO3 (0.1 mmol, 8.4 mg) in DMA/CH3OH (5/5mL). The resulting solution was sealed in a 25 mL Teflon-lined bomb at 120 °C for 3 days, then cooled slowly at 4 °C h–1 to room temperature. X-ray quality crystals were isolated by filtration, washed with DMA/CH3OH (1:1, v/v) and air dried. Yield 68% based on ligand. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for dried sample: C165H227N8O32PCo9: C, 58.36; H, 6.74; N, 3.30. Found C, 58.82; H, 6.49; N, 3.14. IR (KBr disk, v / cm–1): 3614 (w), 3427 (w), 3044 (w), 2954 (s), 1665 (s), 1453 (s), 1356 (m), 1290 (s), 1200 (s), 1127 (m), 1020 (w), 907 (w), 873 (m), 801 (m), 744 (w), 671 (w), 613 (w), 516 (m). PXRD measurement indicated the crystalline phase purity of 1. (Figure 1a).

Complex 2. Purple block-shaped crystals of 2 were obtained from the mixture of TBC[4] (0.1 mmol, 65 mg), Co(OAc)2•4H2O (0.4 mmol, 100 mg) with PhPO3H2 (0.05 mmol, 8 mg) in DMF/CH3OH (5/5mL). The resulting solution was sealed in a 25 mL Teflon-lined bomb at 120 °C for 3 days, then cooled slowly at 4 °C h–1 to room temperature. X-ray quality crystals were isolated by filtration, washed with DMA/CH3OH (1:1, v/v) and air dried. Yield 65% based on ligand. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for dried sample: C91H72N4O8PCo3: C, 58.36; H, 6.74; N, 3.30. Found C, 58.82; H, 6.49; N, 3.14. IR (KBr disk, v / cm–1): 3614 (w), 3418 (w), 3044 (w), 2954 (s), 1665 (s), 1576 (w), 1453 (s), 1356 (m), 1290 (s), 1200 (s), 1127 (m), 1020 (w), 907 (w), 873 (m), 801 (m), 744 (w), 671 (w), 613 (w), 516 (m). PXRD measurement indicated the crystalline phase purity of 1. (Figure 1a).
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X-ray Data Collection and Structure Determination. The X-ray intensity data for single crystals of 1 and 2 (CCDC number: 979033 and 979034) were collected on a Rigaku Saturn 70 CCD diffractometer at 293 K and a Rigaku Saturn 724+ CCD diffractometer at 120 K equipped with graphite monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) by using the ω-scan mode, respectively. All absorption corrections were applied using the CrystalClear program.50 Both of the crystal structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares fitting on $F^2$ by the SHELXTL-97 program.51 All the non-hydrogen atoms, except some badly disordered atoms and some isolated solvent molecules, were refined anisotropically. The positions of hydrogen atoms on the organic ligands were generated geometrically onto the specific atoms and refined isotropically with fixed thermal factors. Disorder was observed in three oxygen atoms with the same occupancy factor of 0.5 in 1. Moreover, diffuse electron density associated with solvent molecules of crystallization and hydrogen atoms on coordinated water and solvent molecules cannot be generated but they were included in the molecular formula directly. Moreover, the high $R_1$ and w$R_2$ factor both of the complexes might be due to the disorder of the solvent molecules and the weak crystal diffractions. Therefore, the “SQUEEZE” method52 was implemented to the crystal data, which had dramatically improved the agreement indices.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crystal structures. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that complex 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic system with space group C2/c. The metallic core of 1, capped by three TBC[4] ligands, describes a CoII$^9$ tri-capped trigonal prism housing a $\mu_9$-CO$_3$$^2-$ anion, as can be seen from Figure 2. Within 1, the trigonal prism is constructed by Co2, Co3, Co4 and their symmetry equivalents Co2A, Co3A and Co4A ions, while the three square faces are capped by Co1, Co1A and Co5 ions. The “upper” triangular face is linked by a $\mu_3$-OH (O9), with the neighboring Co2···Co3A, Co2···Co4 and Co3A···Co4 distances being of 3.480, 3.503 and 3.514 Å, respectively, and

![Figure 1. PXRD of complexes 1 and 2.](image)
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with Co2-O9-Co4, Co2-O9-Co3A and Co3A-O9-Co4 angels being 113.25°, 114.79° and 114.22°, respectively. Moreover, the distance between the triangular faces is about 4.159 Å, and the Co⋯Co distances between the caps and adjacent square faces are in the range of 3.328-3.361 Å. Moreover, complex 1 has a crystallographic two-fold axis so that there are five different crystallographic unique positions for the metal atoms. Among them, the cobalt ion in the vertex of the trigonal prism is five-coordinated in a distort trigonal bipyramid geometry, bonded by two phenoxy oxygen atoms, one μ3-OH, one carbonato oxygen atom, and one other components (one oxygen atom from acetic anion for Co5, and one from DMF for Co2 and Co3, respectively), while the other cation situated at the cap is six-coordinated in a distort octahedral coordination environment with four phenoxy oxygen atoms, one carbonato oxygen atom, and one water oxygen. Each oxygen of the carbonato anion bonds to three cobalt cations in a μ9-bridging mode. It should be mentioned that this coordination mode is rare according to the search of the Cambridge Structural Database for coordination complexes.53,54 As can be seen from Figure 3, the extended structure of 1 is assembled by the stacking of CoII9 entities, which further construct into skewed bilayer array via weak interactions such as van der Waals, π⋯π and hydrogen-bonding interactions. The interstices of the lattice are filled with isolated solvent CH3OH and water molecules.

When auxiliary phosphonate ligand is introduced into the reaction, complex 2 is obtained with an obvious geometrical change in the metal skeleton moving from a regular tri-capped trigonal prism in 1 to a distorted one in 2, because one μ3-μ3-μ3 has been replaced by a larger μ3-μ3-μ3 bridging phosphonate ligand in one of the triangular faces (Figure 4). It should be noted the phosphonate is an excellent ligand for making polymetallic complexes, because their different anionic forms can adopt various coordination modes. Within 1, the neighboring Co⋯Co distances of the “upper” triangular face linked by a μ3-μ3-μ3 (O31) range from 3.435 to 3.448 Å, which are shorter than those in the “lower” triangular face capped by a phosphonate ligand range from 4.447 to 4.492 Å, and the Co2-O31-Co5, Co2-O31-Co8 and Co5-O31-Co8 angels are 114.73°, 114.15° and 113.46°, respectively. In addition, the distance between the abovementioned faces is about 3.861 Å, which is shorter than that in 1, and the Co⋯Co distances between the caps and adjacent square faces are in the range of 3.192-3.544 Å, which is shorter than those in 1. Compared with complex 1, it is obvious that the neighboring Co⋯Co distances of the “upper” triangular face in 2 are close to those of 1, while the ones of the “lower” triangular face in 2 are much longer. Instead of the monoclinic system with space group C2/c of 1, complex 2 crystallizes in the triclinic system P-1, and all nine cobalt centers are crystallographically independent. The upper triangular face sites (Co2, Co5 and Co8) are five-coordinated in a square-pyramid geometry with two phenoxy oxygen atoms, two carbonato oxygen atoms, one bridging hydroxide oxygen and one other component (one oxygen atom from formate anion for Co5, and one DMF for Co2 and Co8, respectively), while the lower triangular face sites (Co3, Co6 and Co9) are five-coordinated in a trigonal-bipyramid geometry with two phenoxy oxygen atoms, one phosphonate oxygen atom, one carbonato oxygen atom and one oxygen atom from DMF. Moreover, three cap sites (Co1, Co4 and Co7) are six-coordinated with four oxygen atoms from one fully
deprotonated TBC[4] ligand, one oxygen atom from carbonato anion and one from DMF generating distort octahedral geometry. It should be pointed out that formate and carbonato anions in the structure of 2 are originated from the decarbonation of DMF with solvothermal technique, which also have been documented as excellent bridge anions for constructing polynuclear metal complexes.\textsuperscript{25,38} Examination of the extend structure reveals that complex 2 exhibits a layer structure stacked by the Co\textsuperscript{II} entities in an ABAB\ldots\ldots. mode fashion through supramolecular stacking interactions (Figure 5), which is different to the skewed bilayer array observed in the abovementioned complex 1.

Although there has been a report on calix[4]arene-supported tri-capped trigonal prismatic Cu\textsuperscript{II} clusters, those clusters are cations carried an overall +1 charge, and the charge balance is supplied by a [CuCl\textsubscript{2}] or NO\textsubscript{3} anion.\textsuperscript{35} Compared with those complexes which are constructed by three thiacalix[4]arene molecules such as saddle-like M\textsuperscript{II}\textsubscript{12} (M = Co, Ni) clusters,\textsuperscript{38} complexes 1 and 2 here are significantly different due to the change between the bridges in calix[4]arene and thiacalix[4]arene. In general, one calix[4]arene molecule often binds to one TM by its four lower-rim phenolic oxygen atoms and forms a common shuttlecock-like TM-calix[4]arene entity acting as a good molecular building blocks (MBBs). However, with the four bridge sulfur atoms taking part into the coordination, one thiacalix[4]arene molecule can bind to four TMs and simultaneously forms a TM\textsubscript{4}-thiacalix[4]arene MBBs. Both of the abovementioned MBBs can be bridged by different linkers such as metal cations, bridging anions and auxiliary ligands into high nuclearity coordination complexes.

**Magnetic studies.** The direct current (dc) magnetic susceptibilities were carried out (H = 1000 Oe) on the polycrystalline samples of 1 and 2 over 2-300 K. The $\chi_m$ versus temperature values at room temperature are 20.16 and 20.81 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} for 1 and 2, which are higher than the calculated values of 16.875 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} ($g = 2$) for 9 uncoupled Co\textsuperscript{II} ions (Figure 6). This can be explained on the unquenched orbital-moment as a consequence of spin-orbital coupling of Co\textsuperscript{II} ions, which is known to be significant in an octahedral field.\textsuperscript{56} Upon cooling to 16.0 K, the $\chi_m T$ value for 1 decreases to 6.29 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} and abruptly increases to a maximum value of 8.23 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} at 3.0 K, and then decreases until the lowest temperature 2 K. While the $\chi_m T$ product of 2 decreases as the temperature is decreased, and reaches a minimum value of 9.74 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} at 27.0 K, and then decreases to 13.45 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} at 15.0 K, and then falls rapidly to 2.98 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} at 2 K. The increases of $\chi_m T$ value for both title complexes at low temperatures (16-3 K for 1, and 27-15 K for 2) are suggestive of ferrimagnetic or weak ferromagnetic interactions between the Co\textsuperscript{II} ions, which may be attributed to spin canting antiferromag netism and/or zero-field splitting of the anisotropic high-spin Co\textsuperscript{II} centers. The reciprocal molar magnetic susceptibility data in the range of 50-300 K obey the Curie–Weiss Law ($1/\chi_m = T/C - \theta/C$) with Curie constants ($C$) of 27.77 and 25.64 and Weiss constants ($\theta$) of -87.75 and -61.54 cm\textsuperscript{3} K mol\textsuperscript{-1} for complexes 1 and 2, respectively. However, because of the complicated structure of both title complexes, it is not possible to evaluate the coupling constant between the Co\textsuperscript{II} carriers. The negative Weiss constants and the decrease in the $\chi_m T$ value at high temperature could be arised from the presence of strong antiferromagnetic interaction between the cobalt ions and/or the spin-orbit coupling effect of Co\textsuperscript{II}.

Field dependence of magnetization (M) versus field (H) data for complexes 1 and 2 are investigated with the applied magnetic field H in the range 0–80 kOe at 2 K (Figures S1 and S2 in the SI). The magnetization of 1 increases quickly at low fields and then more slowly at high fields, while that of 2 increases almost linearly. The magnetization value at the highest field (8 T) is 7.93 and 4.60 N\beta for complexes 1 and 2 respectively, which is far below the saturation sum value of nine Co\textsuperscript{II} ions. Moreover, no obvious hysteresis loop is observed for both of the complexes at 2 K (Figures S3 and S4 in the SI).

To further characterize the low-temperature behaviors of complexes 1 and 2, the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) experiments are performed under a field of 30 Oe in the range of 2-20 K, as shown in Figure 7. Both of the
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**Figure 6.** Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibilities for a) complex 1 and b) complex 2 in a 1000 Oe field. The red solid lines are the best fitting to the Curie-Weiss Law.
Figure 7. FC and ZFC curves for a) complex 1 and b) complex 2 at an applied field strength of 30 Oe.

complexes show divergences in the low-temperature region (below 8 K for 1, below 12 K for 2), which could be caused by spin-glasses, long range-ordered or superparamagnetism. To further confirm the underlying magnetic nature, the temperature dependent alternating current (ac) experiments are performed over 2–20 K with zero dc field and a 3 Oe ac field for both of the complexes as can be seen Figure 8 and 9, respectively. The in-phase and out-of-phase susceptibilities of 1 and 2 both show very small frequency dependence at around 8 and 10 K and the shift parameter values, $\gamma = (\Delta T_B / T_B) / \Delta (\log f)$ ($f$ = ac frequency, $T_B$ = blocking temperature, which is defined as the temperature below which the relaxation of the magnetization becomes slow), are determined to be 0.0236 and 0.0324, which are in the usual range (0.004–0.08) of spin-glass behavior. According to the above observations, these two calix[4]arene-supported Co$^{II}$ clusters show ferrimagnetic or weak ferromagnetic interactions between the Co$^{II}$ ions at low temperatures with spin-glass behavior, which are different from the reported thiacalix[4]arene-supported cobalt system by our group and Liao’s group. Moreover, the $\chi_m T$, magnetization, spin-glass shift parameter, ZFC and FC of complexes 1 and 2 are also somewhat different. Such different magnetic properties might be due to the introduction of auxiliary phosphate ligand which leads to the changes in the metallic core. Therefore, this work indicates that we may synthesize new complexes with interesting magnetic properties by using different calixarenes and complementary ligands.

Figure 8. Plot of the in-phase (top) and out-of-phase (bottom) ac susceptibility for 1 in a zero dc field and a 3 Oe ac field.

Figure 9. Plot of the in-phase (top) and out-of-phase (bottom) ac susceptibility for 2 in a zero dc field and a 3 Oe ac field.
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have formed two new calixarene-supported tri-capped prismatic Co₆³⁺ clusters housing one μ₆-CO₃²⁻ anion. In essence, complex 2 is a “distorted” version of complex 1 in which one μ₃-OH has been replaced by a much larger μ₃-bridging phosphonate ligand. As far as we know, they are the first two examples of μ₆-CO₃²⁻ bridged paramagnetic metal clusters. Magnetic measurements reveal that both of the complexes display dominant antiferromagnetic interactions (in 50-300 K), ferrimagnetic or weak ferromagnetic exchange (at lower temperatures) and spin-glass behavior. Our future studies will focus on cluster versatility towards different phosphate auxiliary ligands and other metal centers. Such alteration may influence the assembly of the clusters and further influence the magnetic properties.
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